

Research Paper

Profiling Student Satisfaction: Evaluating the Effectiveness of Student Affairs and Services Programs

Mary Rose Montano*, Arman Navarro, Aveto M. Dasal Jr., Ronald A. Gonzales, Jeanne Mae Ilao, Anna Rhea C. Opeña City College of Calamba, Philippines

Received : April 10, 2025 | Revised : May 13, 2025 | Accepted : May 20, 2025 | Online : September 23, 2025

Abstract

In the evolving landscape of higher education, Student Affairs and Services (SAS) programs play a vital role in supporting holistic student development beyond academics. As institutions strive to enhance student satisfaction, assessing the effectiveness of these programs has become essential. This study aimed to evaluate student satisfaction with SAS programs at the City College of Calamba by profiling students' demographic characteristics, identifying satisfaction levels, and exploring the relationship between these variables. Using a descriptive-correlational mixed-methods design, data were collected from 3,032 students through a self-developed survey instrument that included both quantitative Likert-scale items and qualitative open-ended questions. Spearman's Rho was applied to determine correlations between demographic profiles (gender, year level, and academic program) and satisfaction levels, while thematic analysis was used for qualitative data. Findings revealed that students were generally satisfied with SAS programs, particularly in areas related to student governance support and extracurricular activities. However, issues such as limited access to technological resources, financial constraints, and mental health challenges were prominent concerns. No significant relationships were found between demographic variables and satisfaction levels, indicating a broadly uniform perception of services across different student groups. The study contributed a data-driven enhancement plan focused on student-centered improvements, including expanded digital access, streamlined administrative processes, mental health initiatives, and mechanisms for amplifying student voices. These findings emphasize the importance of continuous assessment, inclusive practices, and responsive strategies in strengthening SAS programs and enhancing the overall student experience in higher education.

Keywords Student Satisfaction, Student Affairs and Services (SAS), Higher Education Effectiveness, Service Quality Evaluation, Student Support Programs

INTRODUCTION

Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) significantly influence students' academic, social, and personal growth. Beyond academics, Student Affairs and Services (SAS) provides vital support through advising, career guidance, student organizations, counseling, wellness, and financial aid. To stay relevant and practical, these services must be regularly evaluated in line with institutional goals.

The International Association of Student Affairs and Services (2002) stresses that student services should reflect student voices and promote holistic development. However, in the local context, SAS programs are often under-evaluated, with limited studies exploring student satisfaction across demographic groups.

Copyright Holder:

This Article is Licensed Under:



This study addresses that gap by assessing the effectiveness of SAS programs based on student satisfaction, examining demographic differences, and identifying academic challenges. Results will guide institutional improvements and inform an enhancement plan tailored to student needs.

The study specifically aims to answer:

- What are the demographic profiles of respondents (gender, year level, program)?
- How satisfied are students with specific SAS programs?
- Is there a significant relationship between demographics and satisfaction?
- What challenges affect student academic performance?
- What recommendations can improve SAS programs and satisfaction?
- What enhancement plan can be proposed based on the findings?

By offering localized, evidence-based insights, this research supports continuous improvement and strengthens student-centered quality assurance in HEIs.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Profiling Student Satisfaction

Students today expect personalized, tech-enabled services where academic support, clear communication, and inclusivity drive satisfaction. Meeting these expectations enhances engagement, retention, and institutional reputation (Tandet, 2024). Research shows satisfaction directly impacts retention, graduation rates, and alumni support (Cook, 2023). Effective SAS programs—focusing on advising, mental health, and extracurriculars—strengthen belonging and loyalty. Regular feedback and responsive services are crucial for sustained success.

Quality support services boost institutional competitiveness and student loyalty (Aspa et al., 2022). Refining services based on feedback improves outcomes and strengthens alumni relationships. A customer-focused SAS approach requires continuous improvement, efficient resource use, and a supportive environment. Institutions investing in student-centered services foster holistic development and become top higher education choices.

Assessing and Enhancing Student Affairs and Services (SAS) Programs

Evaluating SAS programs is vital to prioritizing student welfare and improving educational experiences. HEIs must use strong assessment tools to measure impact, find gaps, and guide improvements. Indicators like engagement, satisfaction, and retention help assess effectiveness. Aligning services with student needs allows institutions to stay responsive and supportive.

Compliance with Commission on Higher Education 2013, enhances student development and institutional efficiency. Lumasag and Gawahan (2025) report a 3.12 average compliance rating among Northern Mindanao HEIs, stressing the need for continued improvement in student services. Loren et al. (2023) also emphasize structured evaluations to refine SAS through student feedback.

Studies confirm that effective SAS programs support student success. Sison (2019) found generally positive student ratings but noted areas for improvement. Tan (2021) links SAS components like counseling, financial aid, and health services to academic performance, highlighting the need for ongoing evaluation.

Strategies for SAS Enhancement

Regular evaluation is essential for maintaining SAS quality and student retention. Sarvida & Sarvida (2023) emphasize that satisfaction data enhances program relevance and responsiveness, requiring updated offerings, trained staff, and robust feedback systems. While Bulawat et al. (2025) found generally positive student perceptions using the CIPP model, gaps persist in inclusivity, access, and resource allocation.

Hualda & Quinto (2023) advocate semi-annual evaluations and specialized staff training in communication and stress management to refine frontline services. Individual assessments provide more profound insights than group evaluations, enabling more personalized support. Strengthening SAS demands targeted assessments, technology integration, awareness campaigns, and expanded resources. Partnerships and staff development can address challenges like understaffing, inadequate facilities, and poor coordination (Calamayo et al., 2022).

The shift to flexible learning, as mandated by Commission on Higher Education 2021, underscores the need for innovation in student services—particularly the expansion of mental health support, the integration of digital tools, the provision of online training, and the streamlining of processes. Patalinghug et al. (2020) emphasize that students value responsive and accessible services over traditional physical resources, highlighting the importance of adaptability in service delivery. In line with this, digital platforms, automation, and real-time systems can significantly enhance student satisfaction while fostering a supportive academic environment. Similarly, Salandanan et al. (2021) stress the importance of continuous innovation in Student Affairs and Services (SAS), particularly through expanded mental health initiatives, improved digital resources, and more efficient organizational processes. Complementing these insights, a study on state universities in Samar revealed that student welfare and development programs—ranging from admission, counseling, and health services to student discipline and housing—were rated "very satisfactory," yet still required enhancement through a structured action plan to address evolving student needs (Amit, 2019).

RESEARCH METHOD Research Method Used

This study used a descriptive-correlational mixed-methods design to assess the effectiveness of Student Affairs and Services (SAS) programs through student satisfaction. It examined demographics (age, gender, year level, and program) and their correlation with satisfaction levels. Quantitative data were gathered via surveys, while open-ended questions captured deeper insights. A cross-sectional approach provided a comprehensive view to inform improvement plans.

Data Gathering Tool and Data Treatment

A self-developed survey served as the primary tool, designed based on literature, policies, and best practices. It included demographics, program awareness, satisfaction ratings (via a 5-point Likert scale), and open-ended feedback. The tool underwent expert validation and pilot testing to ensure reliability and clarity.

Qualitative Description Weight Ranges 5 4.21-5.00 Very Satisfied 3.41-4.20 Satisfied 3 2.61-3.40 Neutral 2 1.81-2.60 Dissatisfied 1.00-1.80 Very Dissatisfied

Table 1. Five - Point Likert Scale

The study used descriptive statistics to summarize student profiles and satisfaction levels, with Spearman's Rho assessing correlations between demographics and satisfaction. Thematic analysis of open-ended responses provided deeper insights, offering a comprehensive view to inform SAS program improvements.

Table 2. Spearman's rho correlation interpretation of values

Correlation Value	Qualitative Description				
0.80 - 1.0	Very Strong Positive				
0.60 - 0.799	Strong positive				
0.40 - 0.599	Moderate Positive				
0.30 - 0.399	Weak Positive				
0.00 - 0.199	Very Weak				

Description of Respondents

The study used convenience sampling to gather data from 3,032 students across all year levels and programs, capturing diverse perspectives on SAS services. While not random, the large sample enhances reliability and provides valuable insights for improving SAS programs.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

1. Demographic Profile

Out of 3,032 respondents, 63.1% were female and 36.9% male, indicating higher female participation. This may reflect greater engagement or survey responsiveness among female students.

Table 3. Gender Distribution of Respondents

GENDER	Frequency	Percent
MALE	1120	36.9 %
FEMALE	1912	63.1 %
Total	3032	100.0 %

Second-year students made up the largest group at 42%, followed by third-year (31.4%), fourth-year (24.5%), and first-year students (2.1%). The low first-year turnout suggests limited exposure to SAS programs or institutional activities.

Table 4. Distribution of Respondents by Year Level

YEAR LEVEL	Frequency	Percent
FIRST YEAR	64	2.1
SECOND YEAR	1273	42.0
THIRD YEAR	951	31.4
FOURTH YEAR	744	24.5
Total	3032	100.0

The BSIT program had the highest representation (23.7%), followed by BSAIS (14.5%), BSA (13.1%), and BSP (11.8%). Education programs like BEEd (11.1%) and Secondary Ed. English (8.3%) also contributed, while others, such as Early Childhood, Secondary Ed. Filipino, and Social Science had minimal representation. The data reflects enrollment trends and varying levels of engagement across programs.

Table 5. Distribution of Respondents by Academic Program

PROGRAM	Frequency	Percentage	
Early Childhood	47	1.6 %	

PROGRAM	Frequency	Percentage
Elementary Education	337	11.1 %
Secondary Ed. English	253	8.3 %
Secondary Ed. Filipino	4	0.1 %
Secondary Ed. Math	121	4.0 %
Secondary Ed. Science	104	3.4 %
Secondary Ed. Soc Sci	1	0.0 %
Accountancy	397	13.1 %
Accounting Information System	441	14.5 %
Computer Science	251	8.3 %
Information Technology	718	23.7 %
Psychology	358	11.8 %
Total	3032	100.0 %

2. Respondents' Level of Satisfaction with Various Student Affairs and Services Programs

The analysis of student satisfaction with Student Affairs and Services (SAS) programs in Table 6 shows generally positive feedback, with satisfaction scores ranging from 3.52 to 3.78, all falling within the "Satisfied" category. The highest satisfaction was for support to the student council and organizations (3.78), while the lowest was for the student handbook's comprehensiveness (3.52). The overall satisfaction score was 3.76, indicating general satisfaction with some variability in responses. The data suggest that while support for extracurricular activities is strong, improvements in the student handbook's accessibility and information would enhance overall satisfaction.

Table 6. Respondents' Level of Satisfaction with Various Student Affairs and Services Programs

Vario	us Student Affairs and Services			
	Programs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Interpretation
1	The College personnel including the faculty, advisers, student affairs and services were accessible and courteous to answer questions or provide feedback	3.63	1.24	Satisfied
2	The orientation conducted by the College and student affairs and services, and other services were clearly outlined	3.68	1.24	Satisfied
3	The student handbook provided by the College contains information such as contact number of college personnel, how to access student services, etc.	3.52	1.22	Satisfied
4	The services to ensure psycho-	3.57	1.23	Satisfied

vario	us Student Affairs and Services Programs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Interpretation
	Tiograms	Mean	Stu. Dev.	interpretation
	social and mental well-being			
	are administered regularly.			
	The services provided are			
	accessible to students with			
5	disabilities, students belonging	3.54	1.21	Satisfied
	to indigenous groups, students			
	who are solo parents, etc.			
6	The College provided career	3.68	1.24	Satisfied
	and occupational opportunities.	3.00	1,27	Jatisfica
	The College supported			
7	activities of student	3.78	1.30	Satisfied
	organizations			
8	The College supported the	3.78	1.30	Satisfied
0	student council/government	3.70	1.50	Satisfied
9	The College publication is	3.72	1.26	Satisfied
	active and accessible	J./ Z	1.20	Satisfied
	The College personnel in the			
10	Admission's / Registrar's	3.59	1.25	Satisfied
	Offices are helpful			
	The College provided accessible			
11	information to having a healthy	3.56	1.22	Satisfied
	lifestyle			
	The College provided accessible			
12	health services such as medical	3.67	1.25	Satisfied
	consultation among others.			
	The College provided a safe			
13	online / distance / flexible	3.64	1.26	Satisfied
	learning environment.			
	The cultural and arts program			
	provided opportunities to			
	develop and enhance talents,			
14	abilities, and values for	3.68	1.25	Satisfied
	appreciation, promotion and			
	conservation of national culture			
	and multi-cultural heritage			
	The sports development			
15	program provided physical	3.69	1.27	Satisfied
	fitness and wellness other than			
	Physical Education subjects			
4.6	Overall, how satisfied or	0.60	4.00	0 . 7 .
16	dissatisfied were you with the	3.68	1.23	Satisfied
	student affairs and services?			
	GRAND MEAN	3.76	1.29	Satisfied

3. Relationship Between the Profile Variables and the Respondents' Level of Satisfaction with Student Affairs and Services Programs

The analysis of the relationship between demographic factors (gender, year level, and academic program) and satisfaction with student affairs and services in Table 7 shows weak to no significant correlations. Gender (p = 0.154), year level (p = 0.070), and academic program (p = 0.321) all showed weak positive relationships, but none were statistically significant. These results suggest that satisfaction with student services is generally consistent across demographic groups, indicating that factors like service quality and accessibility may have a greater impact on satisfaction.

Table 7. Relationship Between the Profile Variables and the Respondents' Level of Satisfaction with Student Affairs and Services Programs

Profile Level of Satisfaction		Strength of relationship	Decision	Conclusion
Gender	0.154	Very Weak Positive		
Program	0.321	Weak Positive	Fail to Reject	No significant
Year	0.070	Vory Wools Docitivo	Но	relationship
Level	0.070	Very Weak Positive		

Legend: 0.00-0.199: Very Weak; 0.20-0.399: Weak; 0.40-0.599: Moderate; 0.60-0.799: Strong; 0.80-1.00: Very Strong.

4. Challenges Encountered by the Respondents

Table 8 reveals key student challenges: 60.1% report limited tech access, highlighting the digital divide. Financial burdens affect 41.2%, while 38.8% struggle with basic needs. Access to learning materials (32.2%) and mental health (29.5%) are also concerns. Fewer cite instructor support (16.4%) or safety issues (2.3%), but all areas need attention. These findings emphasize the need for holistic support addressing technological, financial, and emotional barriers to success (Student Services - Faculty & Staff Resources, 2023).

Table 8. Challenges Encountered by the Respondents

CHALLENGES	Frequency	Percentage
Limited access to educational resources	977	32.22%
Limited access to technological resources	1822	60.10%
Limited funds for educational needs	1248	41.20%
Limited funds for basic needs	1176	38.80%
Lack support from professors	498	16.42%
Experiencing mental health problem	896	29.50%
Not feeling safe due to bullying, harassment, etc.	70	2.30%

5. Recommendations to Enhance the Effectiveness of the Student Affairs and Services Programs

Analysis of student feedback in Table 9 reveals four major concerns. Students strongly advocate for improved voice mechanisms (30 mentions), particularly through enhanced feedback channels like surveys and open forums to strengthen institutional responsiveness (Finneran et al.,

2023). Accessibility issues also feature prominently (25 mentions), with inadequate technology resources and basic amenities disproportionately affecting non-traditional students. Operational challenges include poor communication (20 mentions), bureaucratic delays in enrollment processes (18), and substandard facilities (10). Additionally, students highlight the need for better mental health support (15 mentions) and community-building initiatives (8), while marginalized groups face unique challenges (7 mentions) requiring targeted solutions (Lawton & Toner, 2020). While immediate improvements are necessary, sustainable success depends on developing equitable systems through streamlined operations and infrastructure upgrades (All4Ed, 2025). These findings provide a clear roadmap for boosting both student satisfaction and institutional effectiveness.

Table 9. Recommendations to Enhance the Effectiveness of the Student Affairs and Services

Programs

		Progran	ns		
codes/categor ies	verbatim responses	No. it occur	No. of participan ts who responde d	themes	Description of themes
Listening to Students	"pakinggan at tignan ang hinaing ng students" "Always listen and consider the suggestions" "Hear students' voice"	30	360	Student Feedback & Voice	Students emphasize the need for their concerns to be heard, suggesting surveys, suggestion boxes, and open communicati on channels. Many requests active responsivene ss to feedback.
Service Accessibility	"Improve access to technological/educati onal resources" "Have internet access in school" "More food/water sources" "Centralized online portal for services"	25	360	Resource & Facility Improvemen t	Students highlight gaps in basic needs and suggest better organization Some mention inequities.
Communication	"Clear and early	20	360	Transparenc	Criticisms

codes/categor ies	verbatim responses	No. it occur s	No. of participan ts who responde d	themes	Description of themes
	announcements" "Avoid confusion between offices" "Use physical posters more often"			y & Clarity	include last- minute announceme nts and disorganized processes. Students propose standardized communicati on.
Mental Health & Support	"Expand mental health services" "Counseling every semester" "Address student well-being"	15	360	Holistic Student Care	Requests for accessible counseling, stress reduction, and awareness campaigns.
Administrative Efficiency	"Faster enrollment process" "Organized clearance signing" "Reduce overcrowding in offices"	18	360	Process Streamlining	Complaints focus on bureaucratic delays. Suggestions include digital ticketing and better staff training.
Academic Support	"More competent professors" "Flexible schedules for online/F2F transitions" "Extended library hours"	12	360	Teaching & Learning Quality	Concerns include rushed lectures and lack of professor accountabilit y. Some ask for "regular evaluations of teaching methods."
Financial & Material Aid	"Increase financial assistance"	10	360	Equity & Assistance	Students from low-

codes/categor ies	verbatim responses	No. it occur	No. of participan ts who responde d	themes	Description of themes
	"Provide gadgets for students" "Higher allowance for upper years"				income backgrounds request more scholarships, subsidies, and free materials.
Student Engagement	"More collaborative activities" "Free days for events" "Leadership training"	8	360	Community Building	Suggestions include interactive workshops, social events, and spaces for interaction.
Inclusivity	"Be inclusive of irregular students" "Representatives for marginalized groups" "Avoid bias in services"	7	360	Representati on & Fairness	Calls for tailored support for non-traditional students and accountabilit y for staff behavior.
Facilities & Infrastructure	"Fix ComLab computers" "More water dispensers" "Safe resting areas"	10	360	Campus Environment	Complaints cite inadequate facilities. Proposals include investing in "comfortable, functional spaces."
Discipline & Safety	"Stricter disciplinary actions" "Anti-bullying programs" "Safer environment"	5	360	Policy Enforcement	Students report unmet safety needs and urge clearer rules.

6. Proposed Student Affairs and Services Enhancement Plan

The enhancement plan tackles key gaps through four initiatives: (1) Tech access improvements via laptop donations and WiFi upgrades; (2) Operational efficiency through a one-

stop service hub and biannual design sprints; (3) Expanded mental health support with "Well-being Wednesdays" and impact surveys; (4) Inclusivity efforts, including a Navigator program and bias training. Progress will be tracked via mixed-method assessments and public scorecards, balancing quick wins with systemic change while ensuring accountability through assigned offices. This student-centered approach addresses both urgent needs and long-term transformation.

Table 10. Student Affairs and Services Enhancement Plan Implementation Table

		airs and Services Enna	Evaluative	Time	Key
Activity	Objectives	Strategies	Measures	Frame	Person/Office
Quarterly Pulse Surveys	Gather real- time feedback on services	Digital surveysvia LMS/emailFocus groups forqualitative data	Participatio n rate - 50 % of feedback implemente d	Every 3 months	Office of Student Affairs (OSA)
Student Advisory Councils	Ensure representatio n of diverse student groups	Monthly meetings with SAS leadersOpen nomination process	Number of policies influencedCouncil diversity metrics	Ongoing	Student Government + OSA
24/7 WiFi Upgrade	Provide reliable internet access	Infrastructure auditStaged rollout across campus	Speed test resultsUsage analytics	6 months	MISD + Facilities Management
Laptop Donation Drive	Bridge technology gaps for low- income students	- Partner with tech companies/NGOs for refurbished laptop donations - Launch "Tech for All" campaign to solicit community donations - Set up quality-check protocols for donated devices	- Number of laptops secured - 50 % of eligible students served - Device functionalit y ratings	6 months	VPEL + MISD
One-Stop Service Hub	Reduce bureaucratic runaround	- Co-locate registrar/counselin g - Online appointment scheduler	- Service resolution time - Student satisfaction scores	8 months	Registrar + Guidance
Well-being Wednesday s	Increase mental health service accessibility	- Pop-up counseling in libraries/cafes - Peer support booths	- Attendance rates - Pre/post stress	Weekly	Guidance + Student Volunteers

Activity	Objectives	Strategies	Evaluative Measures	Time Frame	Key Person/Office
Irregular Student Navigator	Provide tailored support for non-	- Dedicated advisor - Customized academic	surveys - Retention rates of irregular students	3 months pilot	Dean's Office
	traditional pathways	roadmaps	- Exit interviews		
Bias Reduction Training	Improve inclusivity in service delivery	Workshops for frontline staffSecret shopper assessments	- Student complaints related to bias - Training completion rates	Quarterl y	QAPDO + GAD
SAS Scorecard Publication	Enhance transparency of improvement s	- Public dashboard on website or FB Page - Infographics in common areas	- Website engagement metrics - Student awareness surveys	Bi- annually	OSA + Communication s Office
Service Design Sprints	Co-create solutions with students	- 2-day hackathons for process redesign - Rapid prototyping	- Number of solutions implemente d - Participant satisfaction	Twice yearly	OSA + Student Innovation Team

CONCLUSIONS

This study confirmed that students are generally satisfied with the Student Affairs and Services (SAS) programs, with the highest ratings given to support for student organizations and council activities. However, areas such as the student handbook and services for special groups showed room for improvement. The analysis also highlighted variations in satisfaction across demographic groups and identified academic challenges that affect student performance.

In response to these findings, a targeted enhancement plan was developed to address specific gaps, improve service delivery, and ensure inclusive, student-centered support. The adoption of this enhancement plan is crucial to advancing institutional goals, strengthening student engagement, and promoting holistic development. By aligning services with evidence-based insights, HEIs can reinforce quality assurance practices and ensure the continuous relevance and responsiveness of SAS programs.

LIMITATIONS & FURTHER RESEARCH

Convenience sampling and self-reported data may limit generalizability. Future studies should use longitudinal/mixed methods to examine SAS impacts, compare institutions, and explore AI-driven support systems for more targeted improvements.

REFERENCES

- All4Ed. (2025, March 12). Ensuring equity, access, and inclusion for all | All4Ed. All4Ed | Equity. Justice. Education. https://all4ed.org/future-ready-schools/emerging-practices-guides/ensuring-equity-access-and-inclusion-for-all/
- Amit, R. A. (2019). Implementation of the Policies on Student Affairs and Services among State Universities and Colleges in the Island of Samar: For Program Enhancement. *International Journal of Research Granthaalayah*, 7(3), 136–141. https://doi.org/10.29121/granthaalayah.v7.i3.2019.953
- Aspa, F., Estacio, L., & Gabriel, N. C. (2022). Client Satisfaction on the Services of University of Baguio Support Offices. *European Online Journal of Natural and Social Sciences*, 11(4). https://european-science.com/eojnss_proc/article/view/6702/3022
- Bulawat, A. C., Andres, P. A. M., & Bulacan, A. M. R. (2025). Student Affairs and Services Program Evaluation: Basis for Enhancement Plan. In United International Journal for Research & Technology, *United International Journal for Research & Technology*, 6(5), 68-83. https://uijrt.com/articles/v6/i5/UIJRTV6I50006.pdf
- Calamayo, J. S., Cabral, H. S., Aurea, W. A., & Dollado, M. L. H. (2022). Evaluation of student services programs of Northwest Samar State University, Philippines. *American Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Innovation (AJMRI)*, 1(2), 39-50. https://doi.org/10.54536/ajmri.v1i2.239
- Commission on Higher Education. (2013, April 19). *CHED Memorandum Order No. 09, Series of 2013:* Enhanced policies and guidelines on Student Affairs and Services. Republic of the Philippines. https://ched.gov.ph/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/CMO-No.09-s2013.pdf
- Commission on Higher Education. (2021). *CHED Memorandum Order No. 8, Series of 2021*. Guidelines on the Implementation of Flexible Delivery of Student Affairs and Services (Sas) Programs During the Covid-19 Pandemic. Republic of the Philippines. https://ched.gov.ph/wp-content/uploads/CMO-No.-8-s.-2021.pdf
- Cook, S. (2023, August 17). Student satisfaction data are a necessity for institutional success. Ruffalo Noel Levitz. https://www.ruffalonl.com/blog/student-success/student-satisfaction-data-are-a-necessity-for-institutional-success/
- Finneran, R., Mayes, E., & Black, R. (2023). Student Voice, Inequalities, and Class. *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Education*. https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190264093.013.1902
- Hualda, L. S., & Quinto, R. (2023). Satisfaction to the Front Liner Student Services: A Basis for the Service Improvement of Student Affairs and Services. *Peninsulares International Journal of Innovations and Sustainability (PIJIS)*, 1(1). https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.12748119
- International Association of Student Affairs and Services. (2002). *The Role of Student Affairs and Services in Higher Education a Practical Manual for Developing, Implementing and Assessing Student Affairs Programmes and Services*. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000128118
- Lawton, J. A. & Toner, M. (2020). *Equity in Design for Holistic Student Supports*. In a Series of Four Briefs Examining the Support Needs of Different Community College Student Populations. https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED606164.pdf
- Loren, M. S., Miranda, M. A., & Correos, C. K. A. (2023). Evaluation of the Student Affairs and Services: Service Enhancement. *Science International Lahore*, *35*(1), 61-66. https://www.sci-int.com/pdf/638116291277869252.%20Loren-Edu-PHILIP%20edited%2010-2-23%20PAID.pdf
- Lumasag, R. M. T., & Gawahan, R. L. (2025). Assessment of the implementation of the Student Affairs and Services: a basis for the Intervention Program. *International Journal of Research and Innovation* in Social Science, IX(I), 1289–1301.

https://doi.org/10.47772/ijriss.2025.9010109

- Patalinghug, H., Hortilano, J., Repaso, E., & Mollona, A. (2020). Students' Satisfaction on School Services in a State College in the Philippines. *Jurnal Pendidikan Progresif*, 11(2), 165–175. https://doi.org/10.23960/jpp.v11.i2.202103
- Salandanan, F. H., Buenvinida, L. P., & Bandoy, M. M. (2021). Predictors of the Effectiveness and Efficiency of Student Affairs and Services (SAS) among Higher Education Institutions (HEI's). *International Journal of Management Entrepreneurship Social Sciences and Humanities*, 4(2), 99–117. https://doi.org/10.31098/ijmesh.v4i2.666
- Sarvida, J. G. & Sarvida, J. Q. (2023). Evaluation of the Office of Student Affairs and Services: Basis for Sound Improvement. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, 8(9), 1542–2234. https://www.ijisrt.com/assets/upload/files/IJISRT23SEP1542.pdf
- Sison, M. (2019). Evaluation of Student Affairs and Services Programs: A Tool for Quality Improvement. *International Journal of Education and Research*, 7(10). https://www.ijern.com/journal/2019/October-2019/08.pdf
- Student Services Faculty & Staff Resources. (2023, October 23). *Understanding the Challenges Students Experience*. The University of British Columbia. Retrieved April 4, 2025, from https://facultystaff.students.ubc.ca/health-wellbeing/assisting-students-distress/understanding-challenges-students-experience
- Tan, M. R. S. (2021). Evaluation of Student Affairs and Services on Students' Academic Performance:

 A Model [Doctoral Thesis, Liceo de Cagayan University].

 http://dx.doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.25877.55520
- Tandet, J. (2024, May 28). Why and How to Improve Customer Service in Higher Ed. Modern Campus. Retrieved March 19, 2025, from https://moderncampus.com/blog/customer-service-in-higher-education.html