Proceeding of International Conference on Multidisciplinary Research for Sustainable Innovation, Vol. 1 No. 1 (2024) DOI to be assigned soon

Check for updates

**Research** Paper

# Framework for Assesting the Performance of Hierarchical Organization in **Adoption of Higher Education Information System**

Reni Haerani<sup>1\*</sup>, Titik Khawa Abdul Rahman<sup>2</sup>, Anwar Fatah<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup> Polytechnic PGRI Banten, Indonesia <sup>2</sup> Asia E University, Malaysia <sup>3</sup> Balikpapan University, Indonesia

|--|

#### Abstract

Adopting and successfully implementing information systems in higher education is essential to improve administrative processes and communication and support academic activities. However, the hierarchical nature of these organizations poses unique challenges that need to be addressed for the effective adoption of information systems. This study proposes a framework for assessing the performance of hierarchical organizations in effectively implementing information systems in universities. This framework provided a structured approach to assessing the performance of hierarchical organizations in the adoption of information system success in higher education institutions. This framework considered the various dimensions influencing the successful adoption of information systems in hierarchical organizations. This dimension includes leadership support, communication channels, organizational culture, and resource allocation. This study aimed to provide a structured approach for evaluating and adopting information systems at various levels of the organizational hierarchy. A structural equation model using a quantitative method and Smart Partial Least Square are utilized for data analysis. Using a population of 121 respondents, data was collected using a questionnaire instrument used the Google Form link Banten Province higher education leadership level. Secondary data was obtained using documentation studies and literature studies. The limitations of this study are limited by a small sample size, making it challenging to generalize findings to a broader population of higher education institutions. Further, organizational culture plays a vital role in the adoption of technology. The framework may need to sufficiently address the influence of cultural factors on the acceptance and performance of information systems within hierarchical organizations. The research results show that by leveraging this framework, institutions can enhance their information system adoption processes and ultimately improve their effectiveness in utilizing information systems for academic and administrative purposes.

Keywords: Framework, Hierarchical Organization, Higher Education, Information System Success, SEM-PLS

#### **INTRODUCTION**

In the current digital era, the effective adoption and utilization of information systems (IS) are vital for the success and competitiveness of higher education institutions (HEIs). These systems enable institutions to streamline administrative processes, enhance teaching and learning experiences, and improve organizational performance. However, the adoption and success of IS in HEIs often involve complex hierarchical structures, which pose unique challenges in assessing and measuring their performance.

This study presented an extensive framework for assessing the performance of hierarchical organizations in IS adoption within the context of higher education institutions. The framework aimed to provide a structured approach for evaluating the effectiveness and efficiency of IS adoption across various levels of the organizational hierarchy, including top management, middle management, and end-users.

Improving information systems is crucial for a higher education institution to compete and survive in the world of education (Kurniawati, Naimah and Wurjaningrum, 2021), (Yulianti, Sridadi and Lestari, 2022). Each plays an asynchronous role in organizational hierarchies and management



operations (Angriani *et al.*, 2020), (Ilham *et al.*, 2021), (Zhang and Yu, 2022). The use of IS in higher education has become necessary (Rapanta *et al.*, 2020). If the information system in an organization has good quality, then the organization will run well (Fu *et al.*, 2022). Using the DeLone and McLean IS Success models is one technique to evaluate the effectiveness of the information systems model (Sardjono *et al.*, 2022), which will be considered the DeLone and McLean Framework Model, which can be utilized to assess and quantify the factors influencing information system success in organizations.

The success of the information system used in an organization is one of the crucial missions of the organization (Tallon *et al.*, 2019). Organizational hierarchy support is needed to ensure a system gets the funding and resources it needs to be successful (Mikalef and Gupta, 2021). Top management support can raise the quality level of knowledge and affect the commitment of each individual to an organization (Muhammed and Zaim, 2020). Therefore, this study suggests an interdependence model between temporal and causal categories. Thus, this study will provide an overall picture of organizational hierarchical culture based on the success rate of higher education information system assessments. Researchers adapt, adopt, and combine the two examples into a new model used explicitly in information systems' prosperous development and fulfilment. In connection with the above objectives, two research questions were then asked to guide the implementation of the research, namely:

- RQ1: What is the most effective framework for assessing the performance of hierarchical organizations in adopting of higher education information systems?
- RQ2: How to integrate the information system adoption model in higher education institutions?

# LITERATURE REVIEW

# The DeLone and McLean Information Systems Success Model

Known as the parsimony model, a good model is comprehensive but uncomplicated (Marsh <u>et al.</u>, 2020) Using the concepts and findings of earlier research that DeLone and McLean have studied (DeLone and McLean, 2003). Constructed a parsimony model known as the DeLone and McLean Information Systems Success Model (D&M IS Success Model) (DeLone and McLean, 1992), illustrated in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Model for IS Success DeLone and McLean 1992 [25]

The reliability of six success indicators for information systems is shown in the DeLone and McLean model. These are the six measurement components of this model: 1) System Quality, 2) Information Quality, 3) Use, 4) User Satisfaction, 5) Individual effects, and 6) Organizational effects. The basis for this success model is the processes and constructive relationships of the model dimensions. These six factors determining whether an information system is successful are measured collectively in this model rather than individually, with each element influencing the others.

#### **Information System Success Measurement**

The DeLone and McLean information system success model proposes that the system quality measures technical success, the information quality measures semantic success, and the use, user satisfaction, individual impact, and organizational impact assess success effectiveness (DeLone and McLean, 1992). Many measurements are used to evaluate the effectiveness of information systems (Dina, Sabilla and Kartono, 2019), (Firmansyah, Herdiana and Yuniarto, 2020), (Haerani, Rahman and Kamelia, 2022)-(Yuniarto and Herdiana, 2018) no one measurement is better than another. In the DeLone and McLean model, six primary dimensions are used to measure the factors determining whether information systems are thriving, including system quality, information quality, service quality, system utilization, user satisfaction, and net benefits (DeLone and McLean, 2003). The Delone and Mclean model is successful because it is a simple model and is often used in testing information systems, especially to find out how successful the system under study is (Sardjono *et al.*, 2022).

## **RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

## **Research Design**

The study is quantitative, and it explained to be used specific instruments. The mechanism employed in this study is the survey method to get data regarding Cameron's theory and the IS success DeLone and McLean adoption framework model. The stages carried out in the research findings such as Figure 2.



Figure 2. Analysis Flow

The description of the research shown in Figure 2 phase can be converted into research

objects by identifying the issues that will be investigated in this investigation, where the research that becomes the object of this research is the performance of the hierarchical organization in information system adoption.

## **Data Collection**

A questionnaire was used to collect most of the data instrument used a Google Form at the level of higher education leaders in Banten Province. The questionnaire was compiled from Vankatest research and has a five-point Likert scale ranging from 'strongly disagree' to 'strongly agree' (Abbas, 2020). A sample of 121 participants was used in this investigation through a non-probability convenience sampling technique from 30 universities in the region. Meanwhile, secondary data was obtained using documentation studies and literature studies. The use of questionnaires is the primary method for data collection. The questionnaire results will be translated into figures, tables, statistical analyses, descriptions, and conclusions (Mardiana, Tjakraatmadja and Aprianingsih, 2018). Validity testing is carried out before the questions are tested on those used as research subjects (Tang *et al.*, 2020).

## **Proposed Research Framework**

The proposed conceptual structure is based on research combining and reformulating theoretical models (Cameron and Sine, 1999). The proposed study form is shown in Figure 3.



Figure 3. Proposed Research Model

Figure 3 arranged according to the level of organizational readiness to implement and use information systems in tertiary institutions so that institutions can be said to be ready to use information systems. The model obtained is based on merging organizational hierarchies (Cameron and Sine, 1999), and model adoption DeLone and McLean (DeLone and McLean, 2003) will describe the research variables' interactions (Luo *et al.*, 2022). Based on Figure 3, this research model consists of eight variables and 13 relational hypotheses. The proposed research model described above will explore the effectiveness of organizational hierarchy, its adoption, and the successful application of the IS model in the context of higher education institutions. The main constructions in this investigation are shown in Table 1.

	Table 1. The proposed model of the main construction						
No.	Variable	Definition	Indicator	Symbol			
1.	Hierarchical	The size by which the organizational	Control	HCO1			
	Organization	hierarchy influences the implementation of	Monitoring	HCO2			
	Culture (HCO)	information systems	Involve	HCO3			
			Punctuality	HCO4			
			Culture	HCO5			

Table 1. The proposed model of the main construction

2.	System Quality	Measuring the quality of the system itself,	Easy to use	SYQ1
	(SYQ)	both software and hardware	Maintenance	SYQ2
			Response time	SYQ3
			Utility	SYQ4
			Security	SYQ5
3.	Information	The quality of information is subjectively	Accuracy	IFQ1
	quality	measured by users.	Punctuality	IFQ2
			Completeness	IFQ3
			Consistency	IFQ4
			Relevance	IFQ5
				-
4.	Quality of Service	Comparison of user expectations with the	Responsiveness	SVQ1
	(SVQ)	actual service perceptions they receive.	Flexibility	SVQ2
			Utility	SVQ3
			Security	SVQ4
			Extention	SVQ5
				-
5.	Intention of Use	The difference between use is the use of	Perceived	ITU1
	(ITU)	the system, which means the use of	usefulness	
		information, and the use if the information	Extrinsic	ITU2
		system itself	Motivation	ITU3
		2	Perfect for work	ITU4
			Relative advantage	ITU5
			Expected results	
			1	
6.	Usage (USE)	The use of the system in fulfilling the	Frequency of use	USE1
	-	services required by users	Intensity of use	USE2
			Usage rate	USE3
			Specificity of use	USE4
			Proper use	USE5
7.	User Satisfaction	Is the response and feedback that appear	Efficiency	USF1
	(USF)	from the user after using the information	Effectiveness	USF2
	-	system.	Flexibility	USF3
			Enough	USF4
			Overall satisfaction	USF5
8.	Net Benefit	Results or benefits felt by individuals and	Continuity of use	NBF1
	(NBF)	organizations after implementing	Continuation of	NBF2
		information systems	services provided	
		2	Continuation of	NBF3
			use	NBF4
			System continuity	NBF5
			Promote service	

#### FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

The results of statistical analysis consist of several stages. The first step is reflective measurement and evaluation of the structural model. Evaluation of this reflective measure is one step in evaluated the internal consistency reliability value used external loadings in Table 2, followed by assessing the reliability indicators presented. Discriminant validity involves convergence. Structural model evaluation is a phased that determines whether a hypothesis can be developed based on the research model. Next, the latent variable of the path model's endogenous latent variable, R2, is evaluated; In the final stage, the contribution of the exogenous construct to the endogenous latent variable is shown in Table 2.

#### **Table 2.** Outer Loadings

	НСО	IFQ	ITU	NBF	SVQ	SYQ	USE	USF
HCO1	0.825							
HCO2	0.813							
HCO3	0.782							
HCO4	0.753							
HCO5	0.711							
IFQ1		0.768						
IFQ2		0.891						
IFQ3		0.886						
IFQ4		0.895						
IFQ5		0.812						
ITU1			0.750					
ITU2			0.865					
ITU3			0.889					
ITU4			0.835					
ITU5			0.844					
NBF1				0.832				
NBF2				0.733				
NBF3				0.756				
NBF4				0.792				
NBF5				0.826				
SVQ1					0.755			
SVQ2					0.862			
SVQ3					0.803			
SVQ4					0.818			
SVQ5					0.798			
SYQ1						0.717		
SYQ2						0.763		
SYQ3						0.826		
SYQ4						0.813		
SYQ5						0.770		
USE1							0.718	
USE2							0.753	
USE3							0.755	
USE4							0.761	
USE5							0.863	
USF1								0.850
USF2								0.799
USF3								0.814
USF4								0.811
USF5								0.849

Based on the table above, all latent variable indicators have outer loading values above 0.70, so they can be said to be valid. Figure 4 shows the construction of the external model analysis diagram.



Figure 4. Outer Model Analysis

	Composite Reliability	Cronbach's Alpha	Average Variance Extracted (AVE)	Results
НСО	0.884	0.836	0.605	Reliable
IFQ	0.929	0.904	0.726	Reliable
ITU	0.922	0.893	0.702	Reliable
NBF	0.892	0.848	0.622	Reliable
SVQ	0.904	0.867	0.653	Reliable
SYQ	0.885	0.838	0.607	Reliable
USE	0.880	0.830	0.596	Reliable
USF	0.914	0.883	0.681	Reliable

 Table 3. Construct Reliability

The findings in Table 3 above show that all variables have composite reliability values larger than 0.70. This shows that the reliability of internal consistency has a high value.

#### Table 4. R Square

	<b>R</b> Square	<b>R Square Adjusted</b>	
IFQ	0.387	0.382	
ITU	0.757	0.751	
NBF	0.786	0.782	
SVQ	0.364	0.360	
SYQ	0.315	0.310	
USF	0.619	0.609	

	Original Sample (0)	Sample Mean (M)	Standard Deviation (STDEV)	T Statistics ( 0/STDEV )	P Values	Results
HCO -> IFQ	0.622	0.618	0.093	6.709	0.000	Significant
HCO -> SVQ	0.604	0.600	0.089	6.768	0.000	Significant
HCO -> SYQ	0.561	0.564	0.084	6.666	0.000	Significant
IFQ -> ITU	0.132	0.150	0.141	0.937	0.349	Not Significant
IFQ -> USF	0.136	0.132	0.182	0.746	0.456	Not Significant
SVQ -> ITU	0.422	0.391	0.148	2.845	0.005	Significant
SVQ -> USF	0.315	0.297	0.108	2.927	0.004	Significant
SYQ -> ITU	0.062	0.083	0.079	0.793	0.428	Not Significant
SYQ -> USF	0.057	0.076	0.116	0.495	0.621	Not Significant
USE -> NBF	0.141	0.150	0.054	2.587	0.010	Significant
USE -> USF	0.360	0.366	0.071	5.077	0.000	Significant
USF -> ITU	0.338	0.330	0.065	5.218	0.000	Significant
USF -> NBF	0.767	0.758	0.061	12.521	0.000	Significant

Table 5. Path Coefficient Value

## **CONCLUSIONS AND FURTHER RESEARCH**

The framework presented for assessing the performance of hierarchical organizations in the successful adoption of information systems in higher education provides a systematic approach to evaluating and improving the effectiveness of information system implementation. This framework addresses hierarchical organizations' unique challenges in higher education institutions and offers a comprehensive set of evaluation criteria. By considering various dimensions such as leadership support, communication channels, organizational culture, and resource allocation, the framework helps identify strengths and weaknesses in the hierarchical organization's ability to adopt and successfully implement information systems.

Overall, this framework serves as a valuable tool for higher education institutions to assess the performance of their hierarchical organizations in successfully adopting information systems. By leveraging this framework, institutions can improve their effectiveness and achieve better results in utilizing information systems for academic and administrative purposes.

## REFERENCES

- Abbas, J. (2020). HEISQUAL: A modern approach to measure service quality in higher education institutions. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*. Elsevier Ltd, 67(September), p. 100933. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2020.100933.
- Angriani, M. R. et al. (2020). The effect of transactional and transformational leadership on lecturer performance with job satisfaction as the mediation. *Systematic Reviews in Pharmacy*, 11(11), pp. 1263–1272. <u>https://doi.org/10.31838/srp.2020.11.180</u>.
- Cameron, K. and Sine, W. (1999). A Framework for Organizational Quality Culture. *Quality Management Journal*, 6(4), pp. 7–25. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/10686967.1999.11919208</u>.
- DeLone, W. H. and McLean, E. R. (1992). Information systems success: The quest for the dependent variable. Information Systems Research. <u>https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.3.1.60</u>.
- DeLone, W. H. and McLean, E. R. (2003). The DeLone and McLean model of information systems success: A ten-year update. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 19(4), pp. 9–30. https://doi.org/10.1080/07421222.2003.11045748.
- Dina, N. Z., Sabilla, W. I. and Kartono (2019). The impact of using visual learning environment on student programming course learning achievement: A case study of universitas airlangga. *Journal of Engineering Science and Technology*, 14(2), pp. 712–725.
- Firmansyah, E., Herdiana, D. and Yuniarto, D. (2020). Examining readiness of e-learning implementation using information system readiness impact model. 2020 8th International Conference on Cyber and IT Service Management, CITSM 2020.

https://doi.org/10.1109/CITSM50537.2020.9268921.

- Fu, Q. et al. (2022). Sustainable supply chain and business performance: The impact of strategy, network design, information systems, and organizational structure. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 14(3). <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/su14031080</u>.
- Haerani, R., Rahman, T. K. A. and Kamelia, L. (2022). The measurement and evaluation of information system success based on organizational hierarchical culture. *Jurnal Online Informatika*, 7(2), pp. 211–218. <u>https://doi.org/10.15575/join.v7i2.871</u>.
- Ilham et al. (2021). Effect implementation of information technology software on improving performance capacity academic and non academic service Sunan Ampel Islamic University of Surabaya. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1779(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1779/1/012052</u>.
- Kurniawati, M., Naimah, Z. and Wurjaningrum, F. (2021). Priorities of education quality service with higher education for sustainable development (HESD) dimensions. *Review of International Geographical Education Online*, 11(4), pp. 305–311. https://doi.org/10.33403/rigeo.800647.
- Luo, H. et al. (2022). Digital technology for quality management in construction: A review and future research directions. *Developments in the Built Environment*. Elsevier Ltd, 12(April), p. 100087. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dibe.2022.100087</u>.
- Mardiana, S., Tjakraatmadja, J. H. and Aprianingsih, A. (2018). How organizational culture affects information system success: The case of an Indonesia IT-based company. *Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Business Intelligence*, 4(2), p. 84. <u>https://doi.org/10.20473/jisebi.4.2.84-95</u>.
- Marsh, H. W. et al. (2020). Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA), Exploratory Structural Equation Modeling (ESEM), and Set-ESEM: Optimal balance between Goodness of Fit and Parsimony. Multivariate Behavioral Research. Routledge, 55(1), pp. 102–119. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2019.1602503</u>.
- Mikalef, P. and Gupta, M. (2021). Artificial intelligence capability: Conceptualization, measurement calibration, and empirical study on its impact on organizational creativity and firm performance. *Information and Management*. Elsevier B.V., 58(3), p. 103434. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2021.103434.
- Muhammed, S. and Zaim, H. (2020). Peer knowledge sharing and organizational performance: The role of leadership support and knowledge management success. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 24(10), pp. 2455–2489. <u>https://doi.org/10.1108/JKM-03-2020-0227</u>.
- Rapanta, C. et al. (2020). Online university teaching during and after the COVID-19 crisis: Refocusing teacher presence and learning activity. *Postdigital Science and Education*. *Postdigital Science and Education*, 2(3), pp. 923–945. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s42438-020-00155-y</u>.
- Sardjono, W. et al. (2022). Evaluation model of yachters information system implementation success using delone and mclean to increase organization performance. *ICIC Express Letters*, 16(2), pp. 205–213. <u>https://doi.org/10.24507/icicel.16.02.205</u>.
- Tallon, P. P. et al. (2019). Information technology and the search for organizational agility: A systematic review with future research possibilities. *Journal of Strategic Information Systems*. Elsevier, 28(2), pp. 218–237. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsis.2018.12.002</u>.
- Tang, X. et al. (2020). Assessing computational thinking: A systematic review of empirical studies. Computers and Education. *Elsevier Ltd*, 148(December 2019), p. 103798. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103798</u>.
- Yulianti, P., Sridadi, R. and Lestari, Y. D. (2022). Fostering creative performance in public universities. *Journal of Behavioral Science*, 17(1), pp. 15–26.

- Yuniarto, D. and Herdiana, D. (2018). Integrating the readiness and usability models for assessing the information system use. (Citsm), pp. 5–10. <u>https://doi.org/10.1109/CITSM.2018.8674349</u>.
- Zhang, L. and Yu, X. (2022). Intelligent retrieval method of mobile learning resources in the intelligent higher education system. *International Journal of System Assurance Engineering and Management*. Springer India, 13(6), pp. 3079–3091. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s13198-021-01455-7</u>.